UFOs And EVOs

bv

Ken Shoulders © 2008

Background: This writing is an unexpected event for the author as he is not a UFO buff but has observed many correlations between the reported behavior of UFOs, as seen by others, and EVO behavior seen personally in the laboratory. The reporting here is tardy in that the author has never had a need to make such a comparison, however, due to a recent association with a group seeking to construct a UFO type of vehicle, it is apropos to document some of the correlations. In this note, attempts will be made to reconcile the two sets of observations and see what the outcome is, knowing that there can be no definitive treatise at this time and that only some shallow inferences can be drawn.

This writing exercise is therefore intended primarily as a precursor for discussions with the resident specialists on UFO behavior in this newly formed group or any other related physics group with an interest in possible interactions between UFO and EVO technologies. The views expressed will be kept on an observational level as much as possible to allow the widest audience engagement. Some references to EVO work can be found at ⁽¹⁾.

EVO Space Observations: Laboratory observations begin with a light fringe shift seen when looking for transmission or scattering of light from an EVO as it moves slowly within the observation field of a simple interferometer. If the fringe shift seen proves valid under more highly refined conditions of observation, such as a bona fide interference pattern shift, then it would mean that the light velocity in the region effected by the EVO is shifted in an upward direction. That would be an incredible find in itself as everything else in nature shifts the velocity downward from that found in the vacuum medium the measurements are made in. In effect, the permittivity of space is reduced in the region near an EVO.

A secondary observation class, seen and reported in many ways, is the reduction in charge and mass of not only the EVO itself but also the atomic material that is deliberately sequestered within it. Although this is a frequent and ubiquitous observation made by the author, the methods used for measurement are not classically defined enough for easy acceptance by the scientific community. As with many such advanced citations, that community will have to wait before enfolding such notions, as the author does not intend to extend his work any further in their direction. He is personally convinced enough of the effect to keep moving in the indicated forward direction.

Charge-to-Mass Ratio Constancy: When looking for other effects caused by EVO sequestering, I do not expect to find any that are dependant on a variable Q/m, because this charge and mass reduction effect seems to maintain a constant ratio with any state of EVO engagement. From this, one would not expect to see a shift in the wavelength of light from atomic emission as EVO effects engulf or sequester the atomic species. This is because the frequencies generated are due to unchanged elastic and mass ratio effects.

Although highly speculative at this early point in time, I would expect all life forms we presently know to remain safe when enshrouded by EVOs, as these life forms also seem to be Q/m based. What seems very bad for any atomic or molecular organization is to be caught in the enormously steep charge gradient of the EVO itself. The warning here is that one should step around an EVO and not through it.

Encapsulating or Enshrouding The Vehicle: When considering enshrouding an ordinary structure with a blanket of EVOs, there is something like a zipping up operation to consider for getting things started. As I see it, this can come in many ways. The simplest way is to use a plastic or dielectric covering of the structure with EVO guides placed judiciously around the vehicle. The structures used could closely resemble a single layer like those described in patents granted to Shoulders for flat panel displays using EVOs ⁽¹⁾. The start-up operation loads EVOs into the guides provided by the structures and the show begins with the lights from the outside world fading slowly as the charge builds. If the rules for EVO coverage are the same as the rules for EM shielding, then there is a certain percentage of covering whereby enough is enough knowing that more is better. The underlying assumption here is that the enclosed space is in equilibrium with the covering medium. As the percentage covering increases, the mass of the vehicle and its inhabitants decreases for any fixed state of EVO excitation.

This is basically a form of instant weightlessness that is produced by EVO encapsulation. Operations using the vehicle can be carried out at very high acceleration, as viewed by those under normal conditions, without the occupants enclosed being aware of the acceleration. There is obviously an upper limit to attainable velocity and it is likely set by the degree of EVO darkness controlling the expressed charge and mass of the shroud and all things within it. In making these distinctions, it seems debatable whether one calls the effect antigravity or mass reduction. In our non-EVO world we are just not used to the effect of mass reduction and so our bias favors using the term antigravity. I greatly prefer the mass reduction description with an additional EVO type of propulsion used for directional control.

Portals: When entering or leaving the vehicle, the portal would seem to be normal in every way as the transition from one region of space to another would be through a mild enough gradient to be safe. A large opening in the EVO shield essentially provides this mild gradient. The surface of the vehicle would feel bumpy from within due to gravitational variations if the EVO containing sheet were rough. Large regions of shroud omission would give the effect of suck holes whereby the increased gravity from the outside would exert a traction force. Under such conditions, there is a danger of getting sucked out of a large hole and into the exterior region of the EVO shroud.

At this point, I cannot see any overwhelming difficulty with being caught half in and half out of the shrouded region except for the tensile force provided by gravity in whatever region you find yourself. For all intent and purposes, this can be considered a mild form of black hole. Beware of this effect when operating near Jupiter. Control of the entire craft would seem to be a snap considering how willing EVOs are to perform and be directed under control. There *is* the extremely important consideration of being accidentally controlled by extraneous fields from outside. The simple system proposed here is a minimal one in terms of shielding from such disturbing influences. This limitation includes collision with physical trash. The shield would have the ability to destroy some small amount of debris but this is likely done at the expense of producing a local failure in encapsulation.

The EVO encapsulation would be as leaky as a sieve to atmospheric pressure differences and conventional enclosures would seem necessary to maintain cabin pressure integrity unless an EVO pump was used.

Velocity Effects: Since light traverses a region influenced by EVOs, it should also pass completely through a vehicle with optically transparent ports. There is an expectation of increased velocity of the light as it passes through the interior of the vehicle in accordance with the alteration of its space properties. What this means in terms of velocity limits for the ensemble of parts comprising the vehicle is not known for sure but anything within the EVO encapsulation can be considered as having superluminal capability when referenced to our norm. Presumably, the darker the EVO covering, the lower the mass of objects within and the higher the light velocity limitation allowed.

Cloaking: Aside from the transport properties being proclaimed here due to the effective lowering of mass, another property of interest also shows itself. In this treatment of UFOs, it is claimed that encapsulating an object with EVOs essentially gives the object some properties of the EVOs enshrouding it. Under this assumption, an enshrouded object would become as invisible as the EVOs themselves.

Although the electromagnetic reflection and scattering properties of an EVO have not been determined with accuracy, the white or active state is capable of both generating light as well as reflecting light. Anything covered or sequestered within EVOs in the white state would thus be expected to both glow and appear shiny under external illumination. With EVOs converting into the black state, they essentially withdraw from our cognizance by allowing light to pass through them. As simple as this laboratory test is, there are no valid measurements of the effect other than to say that guides below the EVO appear normal when viewed optically. This lack of black EVO observation could simply be due to either the short time of passage for the particular measurements made or the smallness of the EVO. Tests on static, black EVOs are needed for conclusive evidence of their optical properties.

If the black EVO is transparent, and the assumption that any cloaked material would take on specialized properties of the enshrouding EVO, then the conclusion one could draw is that the sequestered object within the EVOs influence would also be transparent to electromagnetic radiation. Even if RADAR could detect the object, it would appear to have no thickness because the time delay through it is very short due to the velocity of light increase within the encapsulated space.

Physical objects would react according to their electrical properties. Dielectrics would give a repulsive effect when pressed against an EVO enshrouded object, according to their reduced mass, while metallic or conductive objects would cause a mighty disruption, again, according to the interactivity of the state of EVO darkness. Black EVOs are known to transmit through metallic objects without interaction. This leads to the assumption that a form of shield is available that is capable of protecting the cloaked vehicle and its occupants against harm from normal material.

The outstanding difference between a simple covering for a UFO giving propulsion via mass reduction properties and the covering form necessary for cloaking is that there must be no remnants of standard material protruding outward from the sheath. This suggests that an effective cloaking device or shield would necessarily involve an array of EVOs that are appropriately bound or interconnected to each other without intervening material of the ordinary kind on the outer skin.

(1) Reference

References to EVO writings by Ken Shoulders can be found on the web at: http://www.svn.net/krscfs/. For newcomers to the field, it is recommended that the writing entitled, *Electron Ensembles*, be used as a guide to others preceding it in time.